

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/728,661	BARZILAI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ellen C. Tran	2134	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ellen C. Tran.

(2) Peter Ludwig.

**Status of Application:** pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 8 January 2007

**Time:** 11:50

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

*The Examiner is invited to contract the undersigned for any reason related to the advancement of this application. Applicant's attorney agreed the following amendment to claims 68, 72, 91, 95, 104, and 105*

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The claims have been amended in accordance with the substance of the telephone interview. Favorable consideration of pending claims and passing them allowance is agreed upon.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 KAMBIZ ZAND  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)